

General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

**NASA TECHNICAL
MEMORANDUM**

NASA TM X- 62,497

NASA TM X- 62,497

(NASA-TM-X-62497) A FAMILY OF APPROXIMATE
SOLUTIONS AND EXPLICIT ERROR ESTIMATES FOR
THE NONLINEAR STATIONARY NAVIER-STOKES
PROBLEM (NASA) 11 p EC \$3.50 CSCI 20D

N76-13428

**Unclas
05618
G3/34**

**A FAMILY OF APPROXIMATE SOLUTIONS AND EXPLICIT ERROR ESTIMATES
FOR THE NONLINEAR STATIONARY NAVIER-STOKES PROBLEM**

**Ralph E. Gabrielsen
Ames Research Center
and
U. S. Army Air Mobility R&D Laboratory
Moffett Field, Calif. 94035**

and

**Steven Karel
U. S. Army Element, NASA
Washington, D. C. 20546
and
Ames Research Center
Moffett Field, Calif. 94035**

January 1975



1. Report No. NASA TM X-62,497		2. Government Accession No.		3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle A FAMILY OF APPROXIMATE SOLUTIONS AND EXPLICIT ERROR ESTIMATES FOR THE NONLINEAR STATIONARY NAVIER-STOKES PROBLEM				5. Report Date	
				6. Performing Organization Code	
7. Author(s) Ralph E. Gabrielsen [†] and Steven Karel ^{††}				8. Performing Organization Report No. A-6319	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address *Ames Research Center and U.S. Army Air Mobility R&D Laboratory, Moffett Field, Calif. 94035, and [†] Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, Calif. 94035 and [†] U.S. Army Air Element, NASA, Washington, D. C. 20546				10. Work Unit No. 505-06-11	
				11. Contract or Grant No. Technical Memorandum	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, D. C. 20546				13. Type of Report and Period Covered	
				14. Sponsoring Agency Code	
15. Supplementary Notes					
16. Abstract An algorithm for solving the nonlinear stationary Navier-Stokes problem is developed. Explicit error estimates are given.					
17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) Navier-Stokes equations (stationary) Newton-Kantorovich method				18. Distribution Statement Unlimited STAR Category - 34	
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified		20. Security Classif. (of this page) Unclassified		21. No. of Pages 10	
				22. Price* \$3.25	

A FAMILY OF APPROXIMATE SOLUTIONS AND EXPLICIT ERROR ESTIMATES FOR THE
NONLINEAR STATIONARY NAVIER-STOKES PROBLEM

RALPH E. GABRIELSEN* AND STEVEN KAREL†

Abstract. An algorithm for solving the nonlinear stationary Navier-Stokes problem is developed. Explicit error estimates are given.

1. Introduction. Since the separation problem of aerodynamics is at present intractable, it has been decided to undertake a closely related problem via a mathematical technique that is potentially adaptable to the separation problem. Specifically, the problem under consideration is the "nonlinear stationary Navier-Stokes problem" of fluid dynamics. The generalized Newton's method, as developed by Kantorovich [5, 7] is used. Its application to this problem is of definite value for those seeking practical solutions of related fluid flow problems. The following questions are considered:

(i) Under what conditions does the sequence of functions obtained by Newton's method converge to the solution?

(ii) How should the initial guess be made, as a function of v , so as to guarantee convergence?

(iii) At what rate does the sequence of approximate solutions converge?

Given S , a two-dimensional Green's domain, and $f_1(x,y) \in C^1(S)$, $f_2(x,y) \in C^1(S)$, the nonlinear stationary Navier-Stokes problem is:

*Ames Research Center and U.S. Army Air Mobility R&D Laboratory, Moffett Field, Calif. 94035.

†U.S. Army Element, NASA, Washington, D.C. 20546 and Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, Calif. 94035.

$$(1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} uu_x + vu_y + q_x - v \Delta u + f_1(x,y) = 0, \\ uv_x + vv_y + q_y - v \Delta v + f_2(x,y) = 0, \\ u_x + v_y = 0, \\ \text{with boundary conditions} \\ u(\partial S) = -b_2(\partial S), \quad v(\partial S) = b_1(\partial S). \end{array} \right.$$

An equivalent expression is

$$(2) \quad P(\psi) \equiv v \Delta \Delta \psi + \psi_y \Delta \psi_x - \psi_x \Delta \psi_y + f_{1y} - f_{2x} = 0$$

$$\psi_x(\partial S) = b_1(\partial S), \quad \psi_y(\partial S) = b_2(\partial S),$$

where

$$\psi_x = v, \quad \psi_y = -u,$$

and P is a mapping from $C^4(S)$ into $C^0(S)$ with norm

$$\|\psi\|_{C^N} = \sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{m=0}^n \max \left| \frac{\partial^n \psi}{\partial x^m \partial y^{n-m}} \right|.$$

For clarity, the equivalence of (1) and (2) is now shown.

LEMMA (1) \leftrightarrow (2).

Proof.

(1) \rightarrow (2) directly follows from [6, Theorem 6, p. 131].

(2) \rightarrow (1): (2) can be readily rearranged into the form

$$(-v \Delta u + uu_x + vu_y + f_1)_y = (-v \Delta v + uv_x + vv_y + f_2)_x,$$

with

$$u = \psi_y, \quad v = -\psi_x.$$

Let

$$\vec{Z} = (-v \Delta u + uu_x + vu_y + f_1) \vec{i} + (-v \Delta v + uv_x + vv_y + f_2) \vec{j}.$$

Note the fact: if $\vec{V} = a \vec{i} + b \vec{j} \in C^1(S)$, then

$$\vec{1} \cdot \vec{F} \cdot \vec{V} = \nabla \vec{F} \leftrightarrow a_y = b_x.$$

Hence, $\nabla q \rightarrow \vec{Z} = \nabla q$.

Therefore, (2) \rightarrow (1).

2. Main Results. We seek a solution to (2) by the generalized Newton's method. Consider the equation

$$P(\psi_0) + P'(\psi_0)(\psi - \psi_0) = 0.$$

If ψ_1 is a solution of this equation, we can write a new equation

$$P(\psi_1) + P'(\psi_1)(\psi - \psi_1) = 0.$$

Assume that for each $n \geq 0$ $\psi_{n+1} \rightarrow$

$$(3) \quad P(\psi_n) + P'(\psi_n)(\psi_{n+1} - \psi_n) = 0,$$

$$\psi_{n_x}(\partial S) = b_1, \quad \psi_{n_y}(\partial S) = b_2.$$

(See LEMMA 1 for explicit expression for P' .)

If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_n$ exists, let ψ^* be the limit. Then

$$P(\psi^*) + P'(\psi^*)(\psi^* - \psi^*) = 0$$

$$P(\psi^*) = 0.$$

Thus ψ^* is the desired solution. This is Newton's method.

Define

$$H_N = \max_{x', y' \in S} \int \sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{m=0}^n \left| \frac{\partial^n G(x', y', x, y)}{\partial x'^n \partial y'^{n-m}} \right| dx dy$$

where G is the Green's function of the problem

$$\Delta \Delta \tilde{\psi} = 0 \text{ in } S, \quad \tilde{\psi}(\partial S) = 0, \quad \tilde{\psi}_n(\partial S) = 0.$$

[1], [2], [3], [4], [8].

Let $M_{\psi_0} = \max(\max|\Delta\psi_{0_y}|, \max|\Delta\psi_{0_x}|, \max|\psi_{0_x}|, \max|\psi_{0_y}|)$.

Therefore, based on the remarkable theory developed by Kantorovich, we obtain the following result:

THEOREM 1. If the initial ψ_0 is \neq

$$\psi_{0x} \Big|_{\partial S} = b_1, \quad \psi_{0y} \Big|_{\partial S} = b_2, \quad M_{\psi_0} H_3 < v,$$

and

$$\|P(\psi_0)\|_{C^0} \leq \frac{v^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{v} M_{\psi_0} H_3\right)^2}{2H_4^2},$$

then the Newton-Kantorovich sequence $\{\psi_m\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ does in fact converge to the unique solution of (2).

Proof. By the theorem of Kantorovich [5, p. 708], it is sufficient to show that

$$\|P(\psi_0)\| \leq \frac{1}{2\|P'(\psi_0)^{-1}\|^2 \|P''\|};$$

this is shown by the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. $P'(\psi)$, the Frechet derivative exists at all points ψ_0 in the domain, and

$$P'(\psi_0)g = v\Delta\Delta g + \psi_{0y}\Delta g_x + \Delta\psi_{0x}g_y - \Delta\psi_{0y}g_x - \psi_{0x}\Delta g_y.$$

Proof:

$$P(\psi) = v\Delta\Delta\psi + \psi_y\Delta\psi_x - \psi_x\Delta\psi_y + f_{1y} - f_{2x}.$$

If

$$\lim_{\|a\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|P(\psi+a) - P(\psi) - La\|}{\|a\|} = 0,$$

for some linear operator L , then define $P'(\psi_0) = L$.

$$P(\psi_0+a) - P(\psi_0) = v\Delta\Delta a + \psi_{0y}\Delta a_x + a_y\Delta\psi_{0x} + a_y\Delta a_x - a_x\Delta\psi_{0y} - \psi_{0x}\Delta a_y - a_x\Delta a_y.$$

Let

$$L(\psi_0)(a) = v\Delta\Delta a + \psi_{0y}\Delta a_x + a_y\Delta\psi_{0x} - a_x\Delta\psi_{0y} - \psi_{0x}\Delta a_y.$$

Then $L(\psi_0)$ is a linear operator. Therefore, $L(\psi) = P'(\psi)$ if

$$\lim_{\|a\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|P(\psi_0+a) - P(\psi_0) - La\|}{\|a\|} = \lim_{\|a\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|a_y\Delta a_x - a_x\Delta a_y\|}{\|a\|} = 0.$$

$$a_y\Delta a_x - a_x\Delta a_y \in C^0.$$

Therefore,

$$\|a_y \Delta a_x - a_x \Delta a_y\| = \max_S |a_y \Delta a_x - a_x \Delta a_y|.$$

$$a \in C^4.$$

Therefore,

$$\|a\| = \sum_{n=0}^4 \sum_{m=0}^n \max \left| \frac{\partial^n a}{\partial x^m \partial y^{n-m}} \right|.$$

$$|a_y \Delta a_x - a_x \Delta a_y| \leq |a_y|(|a_{xxx}| + |a_{xyy}|) + |a_x|(|a_{xxy}| + |a_{yyy}|).$$

Therefore,

$$\max_S |a_y \Delta a_x - a_x \Delta a_y| \leq \max_S |a_y| \left(\max_S |a_{xxx}| + \max_S |a_{xyy}| \right) + \max_S |a_x| \left(\max_S |a_{xxy}| + \max_S |a_{yyy}| \right).$$

So:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lim_{\|a\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|P(\psi_0 + a) - P(\psi_0) - La\|}{\|a\|} \\ &\leq \lim_{\|a\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\max_S |a_y| \left(\max_S |a_{xxx}| + \max_S |a_{xyy}| \right) + \max_S |a_x| \left(\max_S |a_{xxy}| + \max_S |a_{yyy}| \right)}{\|a\|} \\ &\leq \lim_{\|a\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|a\|(\|a\| + \|a\|) + \|a\|(\|a\| + \|a\|)}{\|a\|}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{\|a\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|P(\psi_0 + a) - P(\psi_0) - La\|}{\|a\|} = 0. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

LEMMA 2. $P''(\psi)$ exists at all points ψ_0 in $C^4(S)$ and

$$P''(\psi_0)\psi\phi = \psi_y \Delta \phi_x + \phi_y \Delta \psi_x - \phi_x \Delta \psi_y - \psi_x \Delta \phi_y.$$

Proof. By definition, $P''(\psi_0)$ exists if there is a bilinear operator $B \rightarrow$

$$\lim_{\|\phi\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|P'(\psi_0 + \phi) - P'(\psi_0) - B\phi\|}{\|\phi\|} = 0.$$

If so, $P''(\psi_0)$ is defined to be B .

$$P'(\psi_0 + \phi)g = v\Delta\Delta g + (\psi_0 + \phi)_y \Delta g_x + g_y \Delta(\psi_0 + \phi)_x - g_x \Delta(\psi_0 + \phi)_y - (\psi_0 + \phi)_x \Delta g_y .$$

$$[P'(\psi_0 + \phi) - P'(\psi_0)]g = \phi_y \Delta g_x + g_y \Delta \phi_x - g_x \Delta \phi_y - \phi_x \Delta g_y .$$

Now,

$$[P'(\psi_0 + \phi) - P'(\psi_0)](g_1 + g_2) = [P'(\psi_0 + \phi) - P'(\psi_0)]g_1 + [P'(\psi_0 + \phi) - P'(\psi_0)]g_2 ,$$

and

$$[P'(\psi_0 + \phi_1 + \phi_2) - P'(\psi_0)]g = [P'(\psi_0 + \phi_1) - P'(\psi_0)]g + [P'(\psi_0 + \phi_2) - P'(\psi_0)]g .$$

Therefore, $[P'(\psi_0 + \phi) - P'(\psi_0)]g$ is a bilinear operator of ϕ and g . Let

$B\phi = P'(\psi_0 + \phi) - P'(\psi_0)$. Then it follows that

$$P''(\psi_0)\phi\theta = \phi_y \Delta \theta_x + \theta_y \Delta \phi_x - \theta_x \Delta \phi_y - \phi_x \Delta \theta_y .$$

LEMMA 3. $P'(\psi_0)^{-1}$ exists.

Proof. Given $P(\psi_0) + P'(\psi_0)(\psi - \psi_0) = 0$, let $\tilde{\psi} = \psi - \psi_0$, then

$$P'(\psi_0)\tilde{\psi} = -P(\psi_0) .$$

Equivalently, $v\Delta\Delta\tilde{\psi} + \Delta\psi_0 \tilde{\psi}_y + \psi_0 \Delta\tilde{\psi}_x - \psi_0 \Delta\tilde{\psi}_y - \Delta\psi_0 \tilde{\psi}_x = -P(\psi_0)$. This equation can be abbreviated as $v\Delta\Delta\tilde{\psi} = \tilde{F}(\tilde{\psi}) + F$. Let G be the Green's function for $\tilde{\psi}$ (see Theorem 1), then

$$\tilde{\psi} = \frac{1}{v} \int G \tilde{F} + \frac{1}{v} \int G F .$$

Define the linear operators

$$A\tilde{\psi} = \frac{1}{v} \int G \tilde{F}(\tilde{\psi}) .$$

$$B[-P(\psi_0)] = \frac{1}{v} \int G F .$$

Then

$$\left(I - \frac{1}{v} A\right)\tilde{\psi} = B[-P(\psi_0)] .$$

Under proper conditions, as shown later in the proof, $\left(I - \frac{1}{v} A\right)^{-1}$ exists. Then

$$\tilde{\psi} = \left(I - \frac{1}{v} A\right)^{-1} B[-P(\psi_0)] .$$

Therefore,

$$P'(\psi_0) \left(I - \frac{1}{v} A\right)^{-1} B[-P(\psi_0)] = -P(\psi_0) ;$$

$$P'(\psi_0) \left(I - \frac{1}{v} A\right)^{-1} B = I ,$$

and $\left(I - \frac{1}{v} A\right)^{-1} B$ is a right inverse. Also, $\left(I - \frac{1}{v} A\right)^{-1} B P'(\psi_0) \tilde{\psi} = \tilde{\psi}$;
 $\left(I - \frac{1}{v} A\right)^{-1} B P'(\psi_0) = I$, and $\left(I - \frac{1}{v} A\right)^{-1} B$ is a left inverse. Therefore,

$$\left(I - \frac{1}{v} A\right)^{-1} B = [P'(\psi_0)]^{-1} .$$

We now show the conditions under which $\left(I - \frac{1}{v} A\right)^{-1}$ exists.

$$A\psi = \int_S G(\psi_x \Delta \psi_{0y} - \psi_y \Delta \psi_{0x} + \psi_{0x} \Delta \psi_y - \psi_{0y} \Delta \psi_x) dS$$

$$\|A\| = \sup_{\|\psi\| \leq 1} \|A\psi\|$$

so

$$\|A\|_C = \sup_{\|\psi\| \leq 1} \left[\max_{x', y' \in S} \left| \int_S G(\psi_x \Delta \psi_{0y} - \psi_y \Delta \psi_{0x} + \psi_{0x} \Delta \psi_y - \psi_{0y} \Delta \psi_x) dS \right| + \max ()_{x'} + \dots \right]$$

$$\|A\|_C \leq \sup_{\|\psi\| \leq 1} \left[\max_{x', y' \in S} \int [|G| + |G_{x'}| + |G_{y'}| + \dots] \cdot [|\psi_x| |\Delta \psi_{0y}| + \dots] \right]$$

$$\|A\|_C \leq \max_{\|\psi\| \leq 1} \left(\max_{x', y' \in S} M_{\psi_0} \int [|G| + |G_{x'}| + |G_{y'}| + \dots] \cdot [|\psi_x| + |\psi_y| + \dots] \right) .$$

Therefore,

$$\|A\|_{C^4} \leq M_{\psi_0} \left(\max \int [|G| + |G_{x'}| + |G_{y'}| + \dots] dx dy \right) .$$

$$\|A\|_{C^4} \leq M_{\psi_0} H_3 .$$

Therefore, $\left(I - \frac{1}{v} A\right)^{-1}$ exists if $M_{\psi_0} H_3 < v$. $\left(I - \frac{1}{v} A\right)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{v^n} A^n$ exists.

LEMMA 4. $\|P''(\psi_0)\| \leq 1$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \|P''(\psi_0)\| &= \max_{\substack{\|\phi\| \leq 1 \\ \|\theta\| \leq 1}} |\phi_y \Delta \theta_x + \theta_y \Delta \phi_x - \phi_x \Delta \theta_y - \theta_x \Delta \phi_y| \\ &\leq \max(|\phi_y| + |\phi_{xxx}| + |\phi_{xyy}| + |\phi_x| + |\phi_{xxy}| + |\phi_{yyy}|) \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1, the error estimate for the m th approximate solution is expressed by

$$\|\psi - \psi_m\| \leq (2^{2^m - m}) \left[\frac{H_4}{v(1 - \frac{1}{v} M_{\psi_0} H_3)} \right]^{2^{m+1} - 1} \|P(\psi_0)\|^{2^m}.$$

Proof. By the Kantorovich theory, this result follows from the hypothesis of Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 1. As a function of v , for fixed m ,

$$\|\psi - \psi_m\| = O\left(\frac{1}{v^{2^{m+1}+1}}\right).$$

COROLLARY 2. If the hypothesis of Theorem 1 is satisfied, then for a given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a denumerably infinite number of linear equations and solutions ψ_m as specified by (3) such that the entire family of ψ_m 's are within the ϵ -neighborhood of the exact solution ψ of (2), i.e.,

$$\|\psi - \psi_n\|_{C^4} < \epsilon.$$

Proof. Follows directly from Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. BABUŠKA, K. REKTORYS, and F. VYČICHLO, *Mathematische Elastizitätstheorie der Ebenen Probleme*, Akademi-Verlag, Berlin, 1960.
- [2] R. COURANT and D. HILBERT, *Methods of Mathematical Physics*, Interscience, New York, N.Y., 1953.
- [3] P. R. GARABEDIAN, *Partial Differential Equations*, John Wiley, New York, N.Y., 1964.
- [4] L. V. KANTOROVICH and V. I. KRYLOV, *Approximate Methods of Higher Analysis*, Interscience, The Netherlands, 1958.
- [5] L. V. KANTOROVICH and G. P. AKILOV, *Functional Analysis in Normed Spaces*, Pergamon Press, New York, N.Y., 1964.
- [6] O. A. LADYZHENSKAIĀ, *The Mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Flows*, 2nd English ed., rev. and Engl. translated from the Russian by Richard A. Silverman and John Chu, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1969.
- [7] L. B. RALL, *Computational Solution of Nonlinear Operator Equations*, John Wiley, New York, N.Y., 1969.
- [8] S. TIMOSHENKO and S. WOINOWSKY-KRIEGER, *Theory of Plates and Shells*, McGraw-Hill, New York, N.Y., 1959.